Towards complex Langevin simulations in superfluid phases

Shoichiro Tsutsui (Riken, Nishina center)

In collaboration with Hiroyuki Tajima (Kochi Univ.) Takahiro Doi (Osaka Univ. RCNP)

Supersymmetric matrix model

Gauge theory with a θ term

QCD at finite density

Hubbard model away from half-filling

Bose gas in a rotating frame

Spin-orbit coupled bosons

Cold fermionic gasses

with mass imbalance with population imbalance with three-body interaction

Supersymmetric matrix model

Gauge theory with a θ term

QCD at finite density

Hubbard model away from half-filling

Bose gas in a rotating frame

Spin-orbit coupled bosons

Cold fermionic gasses

with mass imbalance with population imbalance with three-body interaction color superconductivity

High-T superconductivity

Sarma phase FFLO superconductivity

Superconductivity is ubiquitous !

But, conventional Monte Carlo method suffers from sign problem.

Q. Will Complex Langevin solve this problem ?

Superconductivity is ubiquitous !

But, conventional Monte Carlo method suffers from sign problem.

Q. Will Complex Langevin solve this problem ?

A. (Maybe) Yes !

But, be careful with the limitation of the Complex Langevin.

Aarts, Seiler, Stamatescu (2010), Aarts, James, Seiler, Stamatescu (2011), Nishimura, Shimasaki (2015), Nagata, Nishimura, Shimasaki (2016)

Singular drift problem Nishimura, Shimasaki (2015)



Banks-Casher (type) relation

Banks, Casher (1980), Kanazawa, Yamamoto (2016) Splittorff (2016), Nagata, Nishimura, Shimasaki (2016)

Chiral condensate \propto # of Dirac zero modes

Cooper pair condensate $\propto~$ # of zero modes of $M(\phi)$

Inverse Nambu-Gor'kov

Assumptions:

Green function

- Thermodynamic limit
- Chiral limit (external source term goes to 0.)
- Density channel (auxiliary field ϕ ~ density profile)

When complex Langevin fails ?

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \log \det M(\phi) \propto \frac{1}{\det M(\phi)}$$

singularity of the drift term

~ zero modes exist

~ condensate exists

Exceptions:

- Finite system
- 1D, 2D
- Explicit breaking term
- Some tricky boundary conditions
- Cooper channel

Partition function in Cooper channel

$$Z = \int \prod_{\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{\sigma} \psi_{\sigma} \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\Delta}\mathcal{D}\Delta \exp\left(-\int d\tau d^{d}x \left[\frac{1}{g}|\Delta|^{2} - \bar{\Psi}\mathcal{G}^{-1}\Psi\right]\right)$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{G}^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} G_{\uparrow}^{(p)-1} & \Delta \\ \bar{\Delta} & G_{\downarrow}^{(h)-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\ G_{\uparrow}^{(p)-1} &= -\partial_{\tau} + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + \mu, \\ G_{\downarrow}^{(h)-1} &= -\partial_{\tau} - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \mu \end{split}$$

Drift term in cooper channel

Then, at least within mean field approximation, (and omitting σ dependence), the drift term is regular.



We put
$$\Delta(\tau, x) = \Delta_0 = \text{const.}$$
 $\xi_p = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \mu$

 ω_n is Matsubara frequency.

A proposal of lattice formulation

$$\det_{x,\tau} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + H \right] \propto \det_{x} \left[I + \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau H(\tau) \right) \right]$$
Based on
Blankenbecler, Scalapino, Sugar (1981)
$$\simeq \det_{x} \left[I + B_{N} \cdots B_{2} B_{1} \right], \quad B_{m} = e^{-\Delta \tau K} e^{-\Delta \tau V_{m}}$$

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m_{\uparrow}} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\nabla^2}{2m_{\downarrow}} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$V_m = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_{\uparrow} & -\sqrt{g}\Delta(m\Delta\tau)\\ -\sqrt{g}\Delta(m\Delta\tau) & +\mu_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}$$

It is known that one particle Hamiltonian should be exponentiated to reduce lattice artifact.

Summary

 If drift term is singular, this method will fail. This will happen in superfluid phases.

 If we use complex Langevin in the cooper channel, the drift term will be regular even in the superfluid phases.

 \blacklozenge A lattice formulation in the cooper channel is proposed.

Complex Langevin is not applied in nuclear physics. If you are interested in this technology, let's discuss !

Physical meaning of zero modes 1/2

Add U(1) explicit breaking term to the BCS action:

$$Z(j) = \int \prod_{\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{\sigma} \psi_{\sigma} e^{-S[\bar{\psi}_{\uparrow},\psi_{\uparrow},\bar{\psi}_{\downarrow},\psi_{\downarrow}] + j \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \int d^{d} r(\psi_{\downarrow}\psi_{\uparrow} + \bar{\psi}_{\uparrow}\bar{\psi}_{\downarrow})}$$

Cooper pair condensate (order parameter of U(1) SSB):

$$I \equiv \lim_{j \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{1}{\beta V} \frac{d}{dj} \log Z(j)$$

Physical meaning of zero modes 2/2

One can show Banks-Caser type relation:

$$I = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} d\Lambda \Lambda R_{0}(\Lambda^{2}) \delta(\Lambda)$$

$$I = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} d\Lambda \Lambda R_{0}(\Lambda^{2}) \delta(\Lambda)$$

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{$$

Infinite number of zero modes ⇒ finite condensate

Kanazawa, Yamamoto, PRD 93 (2016) 016010