#### 2022.11.2 CLUSHIQ2022

# Feasibility of the non-uniform FFLO superfluid Fermi atomic gas

Taira Kawamura and Yoji Ohashi, Phys. Rev. A 106, 033320 (2022)

Taira Kawamura (Keio University) Yoji Ohashi (Keio University)







#### Contents

#### 1. Introduction

#### 2. Formalism

#### 3. Results

4. Summary



#### Contents

#### 1. Introduction

#### 2. Formalism

#### 3. Results

4. Summary



## Ultracold Fermi Gas

Powerful tool to experimentally study strongly correlated quantum many-body systems



https://ultracold.phys.virginia.edu/public\_html/









## Superconductivity in Different Hierarchies

#### Ultracold Fermi Gas



-*p* 

Fermi surface

 $\sigma = \downarrow$ 

#### Nuclear Matter

Neutron Star



https://astronomy.com/news/2020/03/ how-big-are-neutron-stars



#### Quark Matter

K. Fukushima and T. Hatsuda, Rep. Prog. Phys. 74, 014001 (2011)





$$\Delta(x) = \Delta_0$$

Bardeen, Cooper, Schrieffer (1957)

Uniform Fermi superfluid (BCS state)





## Non-uniform Superconductivity in Different Hierarchies

#### Ultracold Fermi Gas



Attractive Interaction Strength

#### Nuclear Matter

Neutron Star



https://astronomy.com/news/2020/03/ how-big-are-neutron-stars





Isospin asymmetry

#### Quark Matter

K. Fukushima and T. Hatsuda, Rep. Prog. Phys. 74, 014001 (2011)



Non-uniform Fermi superfluid (FFLO state)

Electrical and color neutrality





## FFLO State in a Spin-imbalanced Ultracold Fermi Gas







# Difficulty (I) : Destruction of the FFLO state by pairing fluctuations

In isotropic systems, the FFLO-type non-uniform superfluid state is unstable against pairing fluctuations at nonzero temperature even in a 3D system. - H. Shimahara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 1872 (1998)

gas system (continuous rotational symmetry)



infinite degeneracy

Large fluctuations caused by an infinite degeneracy with respect to the direction of the FFLO Q vector destroy the FFLO-type long-range order.

- Y. Ohashi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 2625 (2002)
- J. Wang et. al., Phys. Rev. B 97, 134513 (2018)
- P. Zdybel et. al., Phys. Rev. A 104, 063317 (2021)

This mean-field phase diagram is completely wrong !





# Difficulty (I) : Destruction of the FFLO state by pairing fluctuations

In isotropic systems, the FFLO-type non-uniform superfluid state is unstable against pairing fluctuations at nonzero temperature even in a 3D system. - H. Shimahara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 1872 (1998)

gas system (continuous rotational symmetry)



- Y. Ohashi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 2625 (2002)
- J. Wang et. al., Phys. Rev. B 97, 134513 (2018)
- P. Zdybel et. al., Phys. Rev. A 104, 063317 (2021)

Optical lattice system (discrete rotational symmetry)





# Difficulty (II) : Competition with phase separation (BCS+Normal)



Phase separation (BCS + Normal)



Thus, even if the destruction of the FFLO state by pairing fluctuations can be removed in an optical lattice, we still need to overcome the competition between the FFLO state and the unwanted phase separation.

## In a spin-imbalanced Fermi gas, phase separation into the BCS uniform superfluid and the polarized normal gas can occur.

B

P. F. Bedaque *et. al.*, PRL **91**, 247002 (2003)

#### Experiments

• MIT (2006) Y. Shin *et.al.*, PRL **97**, 030401 (2006)



▶ Rice (2006) G. B. Partridge et.al., Science 311, 5760 (2006)







 $n_{\parallel}$ 

*dn* 

## This work

(1) Is it possible to stabilize the FFLO state against pairing fluctuations by introducing an optical lattice?



(2) Can the FFLO state be stabilized against the phase separation (BCS + Normal) in an optical lattice?







### Contents

1. Introduction

## 2. Formalism

3. Results

4. Summary



## Nozières and Schmitt-Rink (NSR) Theory

Hamiltonian (3D attractive Hubbard model)

$$H = -\sum_{i,j,\sigma} t_{i,j} \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j,\sigma} - U \sum_{i} \hat{n}_{i,\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i,\downarrow} - \sum_{i,\sigma} \mu_{\sigma} \hat{n}_{i,\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow H = \sum_{k} \hat{\Psi}_{k}^{\dagger} \left[ \tilde{\xi}_{k,Q}^{s} \tau_{3} + \tilde{\xi}_{k,Q}^{a} - \Delta \tau_{1} \right] \hat{\Psi}_{k} + \sum_{k} \left[ \tilde{\xi}_{-k+Q/2,\downarrow} + \frac{\Sigma_{\uparrow}^{\mathrm{H}} \Sigma_{\downarrow}^{\mathrm{H}}}{U} + \frac{\Delta^{2}}{U} \right] - U \sum_{q} \hat{\rho}_{+}(q) \hat{\rho}_{-}(-q)$$

$$Mean-field Hamiltonian H_{\mathrm{FI}} \qquad Fluctuations in the Coopernormal constraints in the Coopernormal$$

Superfluid order parameter

 $\Delta_i = U \left\langle \hat{c}_{i\downarrow} \hat{c}_{i\uparrow} \right\rangle = \Delta e^{i \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_i}$ 

#### Fulde-Ferrell-type order parameter

▶ Nambu field

 $\tau_i$ : Pauli matrix in Nambu space

$$\hat{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{Q}/2,\uparrow} \\ \hat{c}_{-\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{Q}/2,\downarrow}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} \hat{\rho}_{\alpha=1,2}(\boldsymbol{q}) = [\hat{\rho}_{1}(\boldsymbol{q}) \pm i\hat{\rho}_{2}(\boldsymbol{q})]/2$$
$$\hat{\rho}_{\alpha=1,2}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \hat{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{q}/2}^{\dagger} \tau_{\alpha} \hat{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}/2}$$

: channel  $H_{\rm FL}$ ΓL

Hartree energy • effective chemical potential

$$\Sigma_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{H}} = -U \left\langle \hat{c}_{i,-\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i,-\sigma} \right\rangle \qquad \tilde{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{k},\sigma} = \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}} - \tilde{\mu}_{\sigma}$$
$$\tilde{\mu}_{\sigma} = \mu_{\sigma} - \Sigma_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{H}} \qquad \tilde{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{Q}}^{\mathrm{s}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \tilde{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{Q}/2,\uparrow} + \tilde{\xi}_{-\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{Q}/2,\downarrow} \right]$$
$$\tilde{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{Q}}^{\mathrm{a}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \tilde{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{Q}/2,\uparrow} - \tilde{\xi}_{-\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{Q}/2,\downarrow} \right]$$

Single-particle energy

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t \sum_{\alpha=x,y,z} \cos\left(k_{\alpha}\right)$$

(nearest-neighbor hopping)



## Nozières and Schmitt-Rink (NSR) Theory

Hamiltonian (3D attractive Hubbard model)

$$H = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \left[ \tilde{\xi}^{\mathrm{s}}_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{Q}} \tau_{3} + \tilde{\xi}^{\mathrm{a}}_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{Q}} - \Delta \tau_{1} \right] \hat{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \left[ \tilde{\xi}_{-\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{Q}/2,\downarrow} + \frac{\Sigma^{\mathrm{H}}_{\uparrow}\Sigma^{\mathrm{H}}_{\downarrow}}{U} + \frac{\Delta^{2}}{U} \right] - U \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}} \hat{\rho}_{+}(\boldsymbol{q})\hat{\rho}_{-}(-\boldsymbol{q})$$

Mean-field hamiltonian  $H_{\rm MF}$ 

Thermodynamic potential  $\Omega = \Omega_{\rm MF} + \Omega_{\rm FL}$ 

Number equation

$$N = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} \left[\Omega_{\rm MF} + \Omega_{\rm FL}\right]\right)_T = N_0 + N_{\rm FL}$$
  
Fluctuation correction

Fluctuations in the Cooper channel  $H_{\rm FL}$ 

#### Phase transition



2nd order phase transition (Thouless criterion) 1

$$\min_{\mathbf{Q}} \left. \frac{\partial^2 \Omega_{\rm MF}(\mathbf{Q})}{\partial |\Delta|^2} \right|_{\Delta=0} = 0 \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q} = 0 & {\rm BCS} \\ \\ \mathbf{Q} \neq 0 & {\rm FFLC} \end{cases}$$



1st order phase transition

 $\Omega_{
m MF}(0)=\Omega_{
m MF}(\Delta_0)$ 





### Contents

#### 1. Introduction

#### 2. Formalism

### 3. Results

4. Summary



## FFLO State in a Gas System (without an optical lattice)





## FFLO State in a Gas System (without an optical lattice)





## FFLO State in a Gas System (without an optical lattice)









### FFLO State in a Lattice System (Stabilization of the FFLO state)

















#### FFLO State in a Lattice System (Fermi Surface shape)

Filling *n* dependence





#### FFLO State in a Lattice System (Fermi Surface shape)

Filling *n* dependence







### Competition between the FFLO and the Phase Separation (BCS+Normal)





## Competition between the FFLO and the Phase Separation (BCS+Normal)





strong

### Competition between the FFLO and the Phase Separation (BCS+Normal)





### Contents

#### 1. Introduction

#### 2. Formalism

#### 3. Results

#### 4. Summary



## Summary

Taira Kawamura and Yoji Ohashi, Phys. Rev. A 106, 033320 (2022).

- ultracold Fermi gas.
- of the FFLO-type long-range order.



#### Future work

- Fluctuations in other channels
- Crystalline (multiple-Q) FFLO state

$$\Delta(\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{l} \Delta_{l} e^{i\boldsymbol{Q}_{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}$$

• We theoretically explored a promising route to achieve the Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov (FFLO) state in a spin-imbalanced

• We have found that the anisotropy of the Fermi surface is crucial to the realization of the FFLO state. As the Fermi surface deviates from spherical with increasing the filling fraction, the FFLO pairing fluctuations become weak, which promotes the stabilization









## Destruction of the FFLO long-range order by FFLO pairing fluctuations

In isotropic systems, the FFLO-type non-uniform superfluid state is unstable against pairing fluctuations at nonzero temperature even in a 3D system. - H. Shimahara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 1872 (1998)

gas system (continuous rotational symmetry)



Large fluctuations caused by an infinite degeneracy with respect to the direction of the FFLO Q vector destroy the FFLO-type long-range order.

(cf.) Hohenberg-Mermin-Wagner theorem

1111  $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ 1111  $\mathbf{+}\mathbf{+}\mathbf{+}\mathbf{+}$ 

2D XY model O(2) symmetry continuous symmetry

- Y. Ohashi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 2625 (2002)
- J. Wang et. al., Phys. Rev. B 97, 134513 (2018)
- P. Zdybel et. al., Phys. Rev. A 104, 063317 (2021)

Long-range order can be stabilized!



2D Ising model  $Z_2$  symmetry discrete symmetry





## FFLO State in a Spin-imbalanced Ultracold Fermi Gas





The FFLO state has not been observed experimentally in a 2D and 3D Fermi gas.



## Destruction of the FFLO long-range order by FFLO pairing fluctuations

In isotropic systems, the FFLO-type non-uniform superfluid state is unstable against pairing fluctuations at nonzero temperature even in a 3D system. - H. Shimahara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 1872 (1998)



- Y. Ohashi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 2625 (2002)
- J. Wang et. al., Phys. Rev. B 97, 134513 (2018)
- P. Zdybel et. al., Phys. Rev. A 104, 063317 (2021)



Periodic potential made by optical interference

http://www.kozuma.phys.titech.ac.jp/ research category/entry7.html

> 2D Ising model  $Z_2$  symmetry discrete symmetry









## Landau-Peierls instability

QCD (chiral condensate)



(chiral spirals in 3+1D systems)

(periodic domain walls in 3+1D systems)

/27

## FFLO state in a 1D spin-imbalanced ultracold Fermi gas

1D spin-imbalanced Fermi gas Y. Liao, et. al., Nature (London) 467, 567 (2010).

Theory: exactly solvable Gaudin–Yang model



- ▶ In a 1D system, there is no infinite degeneracy associated with the Cooper pair's momentum.

• The density profile of population imbalanced 1D Fermi gas was found to qualitatively agree with a theoretical prediction, exhibiting the FFLO state.







## Observation of the FFLO state? (superconductor under an external magnetic field)

#### Heavy fermion superconductor

- Bianchi et.al., PRL 89, 137002 (2002) CeCoIn<sub>5</sub>
  - Kumagi *et.al.*, PRL **97**, 227002 (2006)
- Kitagawa *et.al.*, PRL **121**, 157004 (2018) CeCu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>
- Iron-based superconductor
  - Ok *et.al.*, PRB **101**, 224509 (2020) FeSe
    - Kasahara *et.al.*, PRL **124**, 107001 (2020)
  - $KFe_2As_2$ - Kumagi *et.al.*, PRL **119**, 217002 (2017)
- Organic superconductor

 $\kappa - (BEDT - TTF)_2Cu(NCS)_2$ 

- Wright *et.al.*, PRL **107**, 087002 (2011)
- Mayaffre *et.al.*, Nat. Phys. **10**, 928 (2014)

 $\beta'' - (BEDT - TTF)_2 SF_5 CH_2 CF_2 SO_3$ 

- Beyer *et.al.*, PRL **109**, 027003 (2012)
- Koutroulakis *et.al.*, PRL **116**, 067003 (2016)



Conditions for realizing the FFLO state

(1) Pauli pair-breaking effect > orbital pair-breaking effect

(2) ultra-clean system





## Boundary between the normal and phase-separated phase

Phase separation (BCS superfluid + Normal)

$$F_{\rm MF}^{\rm mix} = xF_{\rm MF}^{\rm SF} + (1-x)F_{\rm MF}^{\rm N} \qquad \left(n_{\sigma}^{\rm MF} = xn_{\rm SF}^{\rm MH}\right)$$
$$= x\left[\Omega_{\rm MF}^{\rm SF} + \mu_{\uparrow}n_{{\rm SF},\uparrow} + \mu_{\downarrow}n_{{\rm SF},\downarrow}\right] + (1-x)\left[\Omega_{\rm MF}^{\rm N} + \mu_{\downarrow}n_{{\rm SF},\downarrow}\right]$$
$$= x\Omega_{\rm NF}^{\rm SF} + (1-x)\Omega_{\rm MF}^{\rm N} + \mu_{\uparrow}n_{\uparrow}^{\rm MF} + \mu_{\downarrow}n_{\downarrow}^{\rm MF}$$

We need to minimize  $F_{\rm MF}^{\rm mix}$  with respect to  $\mu_{\uparrow}$ ,  $\mu_{\downarrow}$ ,  $\Delta_0$ , and x

$$0 = \frac{\partial F_{\rm MF}^{\rm mix}}{\partial x} = \Omega_{\rm MF}^{\rm SF} - \Omega_{\rm MF}^{\rm N}. \iff \text{mechanical equilibrium conditi}$$

- Hartree density equations 
$$n_{\sigma}^{\text{MF}} = x n_{\text{SF},\sigma}^{\text{MF}} + [1 - x] n_{\text{N},\sigma}^{\text{MF}}$$
  
- gap equation  $\Delta_0 = U \sum_{k} \frac{1}{2\tilde{E}_{k,Q}} \Big[ 1 - f(\tilde{E}_{k,Q}^+) - f(\tilde{E}_{k,Q}^-) \Big]$   
- mechanical equilibrium condition  $\Omega_{\text{MF}}^{\text{SF}} = \Omega_{\text{MF}}^{\text{N}}$ 

 $F_{\mathrm{F},\sigma} + [1-x]n_{\mathrm{N},\sigma}^{\mathrm{MF}}$ 

 $\mu_{\uparrow} n_{\mathrm{N},\uparrow} + \mu_{\downarrow} n_{\mathrm{N},\downarrow} ]$ 

We neglect the interface energy between the normal and superfluid components

Equilibrium conditions

- thermal equilibrium  $T_{\rm SF} = T_{\rm N}$
- chemical equilibrium  $\mu_{\text{SF},\sigma} = \mu_{\text{N},\sigma}$
- mechanical equilibrium  $P_{\rm SF} = P_{\rm N}$



ion





#### FFLO State in a Lattice System (Fermi Surface shape)





![](_page_35_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_8.jpeg)